

United States Patent and Trademark Office

VINITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1930 Alexa::fins, Sprinina 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET		CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/422,565	10/21/1999	MEGUMI YOSHIDA	35.G2473	5702	
5514	7590 04/21/2004	EXAMINER			
	CK CELLA HARPER &	TRAN, MYLINH T			
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2174	1 a	
			DATE MAILED: 04/21/2004	. 17	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SC

· ·			الله					
S. C. Start		Application No.		Applicant(s)	<,			
		09/422,565		MEGUMI YOSHIDA	Q/			
Office Action Sui	mmary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Mylinh T Tran		2174				
The MAILING DATE of the Period for Reply	his communication app	ears on the cover	sheet with the co	orrespondence addre	ISS			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS - Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing of the period for reply specified above is left NO period for reply is specified above, Failure to reply within the set or extended Any reply received by the Office later that earned patent term adjustment. See 37 to the Mail of the Mai	COMMUNICATION. er the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 late of this communication. ess than thirty (30) days, a reply the maximum statutory period will period for reply will, by statute, in three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, howe y within the statutory mini vill apply and will expire S , cause the application to	ver, may a reply be time mum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from the become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. ne mailing date of this comm (35 U.S.C. § 133).	runication.			
Status								
1) Responsive to communic	cation(s) filed on <u>RCE</u>	filed 03/24/04.						
2a) This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This	action is non-fina	l.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)	is/are withdravowed. cted. jected to.	vn from considera						
9) The specification is object	ted to by the Examine	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on _	is/are: a)□ acce	epted or b)⊡ obje	ected to by the E	xaminer.				
Applicant may not request t	• •		-	` '				
Replacement drawing shee 11) The oath or declaration is								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-89)			nterview Summary (I Paper No(s)/Mail Dat					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw Information Disclosure Statement(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		5) 🔲 (e tent Application (PTO-15	2)			

Art Unit: 2174

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's Amendment filed 03/24/04 has been entered and carefully considered. Claims 1, 20, 41 and 43 have been amended. However, imitations of amended claims have not been found to be patentable over prior art of record, therefore, claims 1-43 are rejected under the new ground of rejection as set below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 6, 9, 10, 20, 21, 25, 28, 29, 41, 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Microsoft Word 2000.

As to claim 1, 20, 41 and 43, Microsoft Word 2000 discloses displaying a list including a plurality of registered character strings on a display screen (figure 1, Auto Correct box); user selecting from the displayed list, based on a user instruction (figure 1a), a character string from among the displayed list including the plurality of character strings; and causing the selected character string to be displayed on the display screen at a position pointed by a cursor (figures 2 and 3).

Art Unit: 2174

As to claims 2 and 21, Microsoft Word 2000 also discloses the selection of the character string is achieved *by* an instruction which designates a position in a region of the display screen in which the character string to be selected is displayed (figure 1a, when user double click "~", it is displayed in the box "replace-with").

As to claims 6 and 25 Microsoft Word also shows the plurality of character strings have been registered through an operation performed by the user (figure 1).

As to claims 9,10, 28 and 29, Microsoft Word discloses the selected character string is input to a display screen which is displayed to enable entry of a character string designating a destination to which information is to be sent (figures 2 and 3, the selected character string (It would have been....) is input to a display screen by copy and paste the character string into the screen).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2174

Claims 3-5, 7, 8, 11-15, 22-24, 26-27, 30-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Microsoft Word in view of Salm [US. 5,991,396].

As to claims 3, 4, 22 and 23, the modified Microsoft Word 2000 does not teach soft keyboard. However, Salm et al. shows the limitation at column 5, lines 47-54. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Microsoft Word 2000 and Salm et al. before them at the time the invention was made to modify the display screen of modified Microsoft Word to include the soft keyboard as taught by Salm et al. in order to enhance the user friendliness by saving time when entering the use's input as taught by Salm et al.

As to claims 5 and 24, Salm et al. shows the list including the registered character strings is displayed in place of the soft keyboard display screen, in response to said instruction (column 5, lines 33 through column 6, lines 16). As to claims 7 and 26, Salm et al. demonstrates the selected character string is input to a display screen which is displayed to enable entry of a character string to be added to image information (column 7, lines 48 through column 8, lines 16).

As to claim 8, Salm et al. also demonstrates the list including the registered character strings is displayed on a display screen which is displayed to enable entry of a character string to be added to image information (column 8, lines 1-28).

Art Unit: 2174

As to claims 11 and 30, Salm et al. also discloses the at-a-glance display of the registered character strings is displayed on an operation panel of a copying machine (column 9, lines 18-39).

As to claims 12 and 31, Salm et al. teaches the selected character string is output by means of a printer (column 9, lines 61 through column 10, lines 12).

As to claims 13 and 32, Salm et al. also teaches instruction is given through a touch panel (column 5, lines 17-54).

As to claims 14 and 33, Salm et al. shows the instruction is given through a digitizer (column 8, lines 29-67).

As to claims 15 and 34, Salm et al. also shows the instruction is given through a coordinate input device (column 2, lines 65 through column 3, lines 19).

As to claim 27, Salm et al. also demonstrates the displaying means displays on a display screen to enable entry of a character string to be added to image information (column 7, lines 48 through column 8, lines 16).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in

Art Unit: 2174

the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 16-18, 35-37, 39-40 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Microsoft Word 2000 in view of Ohkado [US. 5,717,426].

As to claim 16, the claim is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to claim 1 except for receiving an editorial instruction indicating an editing operation to be effected on the selected character string; effecting the editing operation on the selected character string in accordance with the editorial instruction on the selected character string; and updating the registered character strings in accordance with the result of the editing operation. Ohkado shows receiving an editorial instruction indicating an editorial work to be effected on the selected character string (see abstract), effecting the editorial work in accordance with the editorial instruction on the selected character string (column 4, lines 45 through column 5, lines 7) and updating the registered character strings in accordance with the result of the editorial work (column 5, lines 24-58). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Microsoft Word 2000 and Ohkado before them at the time the invention was made to modify the display and selected character string taught by Microsoft Word to include the editorial instruction of Ohkado, in order to help the user having a desired character string as taught by Ohkado.

Art Unit: 2174

As to claims 17,18, 36 and 37, Ohkado also shows the editorial instruction is to add or delete a character (column 9, lines 10-46).

As to claim 35, the claim is analyzed as previously discuss with respect to claims 1 and 16, except for inputting means for enabling input of an editorial instruction indicating an editorial work to be effected on the selected character string. Salm et al. shows the inputting means on column 5, lines 42-53.

As to claims 39 and 40, Ohkado discloses update performed by said updating means includes addition (and deletion) of a character string (column 9, lines 10-46).

As to claim 42, the claim is analyzed as previously discuss with respect to claim 1 and 16.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 19 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Microsoft Word 2000 in view of Ohkado [US. 5,717,426] and further in view of Sam [US. 5,991,396].

Art Unit: 2174

As to claims 19 and 38, the modified Microsoft Word 2000 and Ohkado do not teach the soft keyboard. However, Sam et al. teach the feature at column 5, lines 47-54. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Microsoft Word 2000, Ohkado and Sam et al. before them at the time the invention was made to modify display screen of modified Microsoft Word to include the soft keyboard as taught by Salm et al. in order to enhance the user friendliness by saving time when entering the use's input as taught by Salm et al.

Response to Arguments

Regarding claims 1, 20, 41 and 43, Applicant has argued that Microsoft Word 2000 Screen Dumps does not teach "user selecting a registered character string from among a plurality of registered character strings that are displayed on a list of character strings, and then causing the selected character string to be displayed on the display screen at a position pointed by a cursor when the character string has been selected from among the displayed list according to the user instruction". However, figure 1a of the Screen Dumps discloses the user selecting step by double clicking "It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time" from the list of character strings (figure 1), the selected string is displayed in the box of "Replace-With". Then, the Screen Dumps shows the step of causing the selected character string to be displayed on the display screen at a position pointed by using the cut and paste operations at figures 2-3. User cuts the string "It would have been

Art Unit: 2174

obvious to an artisan at the time" and then pastes it into the display screen at cursor place (figure 3). Therefore, Microsoft Word itself teaches all the features of claims 1, 20, 41 and 43.

Conclusion

Responses to this action should be mailed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231. If applicant desires fax a response, (703) 746-7238, may be used for formal After Final communications, (703) 746-7239 for Official communications, or (703) 746-4395 for Non-Official or draft communications. NOTE, A Request for Continuation (Rule 60 or 62) cannot be faxed.

Please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT" for information facsimile communications. For after final responses, please label "AFTER FINAL" or "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE" on the document.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal

Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA., Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mylinh Tran whose telephone number is (703) 308-1304. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8.00AM to 6.30PM

If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner 's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid, can be reached on (703) 308-0640,

Art Unit: 2174

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800.

Mylinh Tran

Art Unit 2174

VIISTINE VINCAID
KRISTINE KINCAID
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 10

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100